



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/373,230	08/12/1999	HARUKI OKMURA	OKAMURA=2E	2359
1444 7590 03/19/2010 BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. 624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			EXAMINER JIANG, DONG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/19/2010	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/373,230		OKMURA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	DONG JIANG		1646	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) DONG JIANG.

(2) Allen Yun.

**Date of Interview:** 12 February 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

  

Claims discussed:

  

Prior art documents discussed:

  

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*The examiner contacted the applicant, discussing potential allowance with proposed claim amendment. The examiner indicated that the recitation "at least (i) one or two amino acids" (line 7) still reads on functional variant, which may or may not share sequence similarity to the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:2, and suggested to eliminate the term "at least". The examiner also suggest, for claim 27, to add "variant of" after "the isolated" in line 3 since the claim depends on claim 26. Applicants agreed to consider the examiner's suggestions.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** after non-final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

/Dong Jiang/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1646

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)